

Communication

Enantioselective Organocatalytic Michael Addition of Aldehydes to Nitroethylene: Efficient Access to #-Amino Acids

Yonggui Chi, Li Guo, Nathan A. Kopf, and Samuel H. Gellman

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130 (17), 5608-5609 • DOI: 10.1021/ja800345r • Publication Date (Web): 04 April 2008

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 8, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 14 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Published on Web 04/04/2008

Enantioselective Organocatalytic Michael Addition of Aldehydes to Nitroethylene: Efficient Access to γ^2 -Amino Acids

Yonggui Chi, Li Guo, Nathan A. Kopf, and Samuel H. Gellman* Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706 Received January 15, 2008; E-mail: gellman@chem.wisc.edu

The development of asymmetric conjugate addition reactions for C-C bond formation remains an important challenge in organic synthesis.^{1,2} Much recent work has focused on organocatalytic Michael addition of carbonyl compounds to nitroalkenes.³⁻⁵ Among these reactions, Michael addition of aldehydes to nitroalkenes is of particular interest because of the valuable synthetic intermediates that are generated.⁴ β -Aryl nitroalkenes have been the most common Michael acceptors for reactions developed by other research groups.³⁻⁵ These Michael reactions provide α_{β} -disubstituted- γ -nitrobutanals. Our attention was drawn to nitroethylene as a Michael acceptor because the adducts would bear a single substituent adjacent to the carbonyl and could be readily converted to γ^2 -amino acids. γ^2 -Amino acids represent potential building blocks for γ -peptide⁶ and heterogeneous backbone foldamers.⁷ In addition, derivatives of the neurotransmitter γ -amino butyric acid (GABA)⁸ are of potential biomedical utility, as illustrated by the use of Pregabalin and Baclofen to treat neurological disorders.⁹

The preparation of enantiomerically pure γ -amino acids is challenging, and this synthetic burden has limited the study of γ -peptide foldamers to date. A variety of routes to enantioenriched γ^2 -amino acids have been described,¹⁰ but these approaches often involve specialized chiral auxiliaries and may not be ideal for preparing multigram quantities of protected γ^2 -amino acids bearing diverse side chain functionality, which is necessary for foldamer research.^{6,7} Here we report an asymmetric organocatalytic method for aminoethylation of aldehydes, which leads to a new and efficient synthesis of γ^2 -amino acids (Scheme 1). Our approach pairs a chiral pyrrolidine catalyst with a carefully chosen acidic co-catalyst to promote Michael addition of aldehydes to nitroethylene with high enantioselectivity.

We initially evaluated two widely used chiral pyrrolidines, L-proline and (*S*)-diphenylprolinol silyl ether (**A**),¹¹ for the ability to promote the Michael reaction between *n*-pentanal and nitroethylene (2:1 molar ratio). We assumed that such reactions would proceed via enamine intermediates. L-Proline (20 mol %) provided very little of the Michael adduct; instead the major product in a variety of solvents resulted from aldol condensation of *n*-pentanal, a process that is known to be catalyzed by proline.¹² In contrast, when 20 mol % of **A** was employed in toluene, the desired Michael adduct was generated in 95% yield with >95% ee, and little or no aldol product was formed.



Previous work has shown that carefully chosen acidic cocatalysts can enhance pyrrolidine- or imidazolidinone-catalyzed Michael addition of aldehydes to enones,¹³ and we therefore examined co-catalyst effects¹⁴ on the Michael addition of *n*-pentanal to nitroethylene. When 5 mol % of **A** was employed as catalyst, without any co-catalyst, <10% Michael adduct was generated after 1 h, and little further adduct was generated after 24 h (Table 1). However, use of 5 mol % of **A** along with 200 mol % of acetic acid gave a 95% yield of the Michael adduct after 24 h with excellent stereoselectivity (>95% ee).¹⁵ These observations suggest Scheme 1



Table 1. Organocatalyzed Michael Reaction

O ₂ N + H 1.0 eq. Pr 2.0 eq	catalyst A, co-catalyst B toluene, room temp. 24h	O ₂ N Pr H

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	entry	catalyst	co-catalyst	yield ^b (%)	ee ^c
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3^{a} \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6^{a} \\ 7 \end{array} $	20 mol % 5 mol % 5 mol % 2 mol % 2 mol % 2 mol % 2 mol %	none none HOAc (200 mol %) HOAc (20 mol %) TFA (20 mol %) HOAc (200 mol %) B (5 mol %)	95 <10 95 30 8 55 96	>95% n.d. ^d >95% n.d. ^d n.d. ^d >95%

 a HOAc used as solvent. b From $^1{\rm H}$ NMR of the crude reaction mixture. c Determined by a $^1{\rm H}$ NMR ee assay. $^{16~d}$ Not determined.

that the role of the acidic component may be to facilitate catalyst turnover and/or to prevent catalyst deactivation pathways.

Many pyrrolidine-catalyzed processes require relatively high levels of catalyst (10–20 mol %). Use of 2 mol % of **A** with 20 mol % of acetic acid led to a substantial decline in efficiency (30% Michael adduct; Table 1). Switching to a more acidic co-catalyst, trifluoroacetic acid (20 mol %), caused a decrease in yield (8% Michael adduct). Increasing the amount of acetic acid to 200 mol % led to only a modest improvement (55% Michael adduct). Evaluation of a number of other potential acidic co-catalysts identified 3-nitrobenzoic acid (**B**) as particularly effective: combining 2 mol % of pyrrolidine **A** with 5 mol % of **B** provided the Michael adduct in 96% yield with >95% ee.

Having established $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}$ as an effective catalyst/co-catalyst pair for enantioselective Michael reaction of n-pentanal, we next investigated the scope for the aldehyde substrate (Table 2). These reactions were carried out with 2 mol % of A and 20 mol % of B at 3 °C. Enantioselectivity was determined in most cases after reduction of the initial aldehyde product to the corresponding β -substituted- δ -nitrobutanol derivative. This approach enabled ee determination via HPLC because aldehyde reduction eliminates the possibility of epimerization. As initially observed for n-pentanal, a variety of aldehydes with hydrocarbon appendages give excellent yields and enantioselectivities. Even a β -branched substrate, 3-methylbutanal, can be employed, although elevated temperature (23 °C) is required to achieve full conversion (Table 2, entry 3). Our long-term interest in using γ -amino acids to construct biologically active foldamers¹⁷ will require access to examples that bear appropriately protected functional groups in the side chain. Entries 9-11 of Table 2 show that our catalytic Michael addition method enables incorporation of side chains corresponding to those of glutamic acid, tyrosine, and lysine into γ^2 amino acid precursors, with excellent yields and enantioselectivities.

We used compound **2b**, prepared on a 10 mmol scale reaction, to show that the β -substituted- δ -nitrobutanol derivatives generated via

Table 2. Highly Efficient and Enantioselective Michael Reaction of Aldehydes with Nitroethylene

0 ₂ N + H R 1a-k		1) 2 mol% (S)- A , 20 mol% B toluene, 3 °C		0 ₂ N	<u></u> он
		2) excess NaBH ₄ , MeOH, 0 °C			
entry	product	R	<i>t</i> (h)	yield ^a (%)	ee ^b (%)
1	2a	Me	48	95	98
2	2b	Et	48	96	98
3	$2c^{c,d}$	<i>i</i> -Pr	32	94	97
4	2d	n-Bu	48	95	99
5	2e	<i>i</i> -Bu	54	94	>99
6	2f	Bn	32	93	99
7	$2g^c$	CH ₂ -c-Hex	48	93	>99
8	2ĥ ^c	CH ₂ COOMe	54	92	96
9	2i	(CH ₂) ₂ COO'Bu	54	94	97
10	2i	4-O'BuC6H4CH2	32	94	98
11	2k	$(CH_2)_4N(Boc)_2$	48	92	98

^a Isoated yield. ^b Determined by chiral HPLC analysis. ^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis on the corresponding aldehyde. ^d At 23 °C.

Scheme 2



Scheme 3



the Michael addition/reduction sequence could be converted in a straightforward manner to appropriately protected, enantioenriched γ^2 amino acids (Scheme 2). Jones oxidation of **2b** provided the γ -nitro- α -alkylbutyric acid 3, which was then transformed to protected γ^2 amino acid 4 in an efficient one-pot operation involving nitro group reduction followed by Boc protection. The absolute configuration of **2b** was determined as (*R*) by the X-ray structure analysis of the L-phenylalanine derivative 5 (Scheme 3), and other β -substituted- δ nitrobutanol configurations were assigned by analogy. The enantiomeric excess of 3 and 4 was measured by ¹H NMR after coupling of these acids to L- and D-phenylalanine methyl ester. The short synthetic route in Scheme 2 provides a high overall yield (62% from nitroethylene) and is operationally simple.

Incorporation of γ -amino acid residues into a growing peptide chain can be difficult because of cyclization side reactions. For example, carbodiimide-mediated coupling of Boc-protected γ^2 -amino acid 4 (30 mM) to L-phenylalanine methyl ester provides only 13% yield of the desired amide; the major product under these conditions is the N-Boc γ -lactam derived from 4 (69%; Scheme 3). However, the analogous reaction with γ -nitro acid 3, under identical conditions, gives the desired amide in 88% yield. The nitro group can be subsequently reduced via hydrogenation and protected. Thus, γ -nitro acids such as 3, intermediates in our synthetic route, are valuable building blocks for γ -peptide synthesis, with the nitro group serving as a protected amino group.

The highly enantioselective Michael additions reported here constitute a method for formal aminoethylation of aldehydes. The reaction is catalyzed by a chiral pyrrolidine, and relatively low catalyst loading is possible if a carboxylic acid co-catalyst is used. When coupled with subsequent aldehyde reduction, this process provides β -substituted- δ -nitrobutanol derivatives, which are potentially valuable chiral intermediates. We have shown that such intermediates can be converted expeditiously to protected γ^2 -amino acids, which are interesting as foldamer building blocks. Relatively few methods have been previously described for γ^2 -amino acid synthesis,¹⁰ and these approaches might be challenging to apply to examples featuring diverse side chain functionality. Mechanistic studies regarding the role of acid co-catalyst and the catalytic pathway are in progress.¹²

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by NSF (CHE-055190). Y.C. was supported in part by a fellowship from Abbott Laboratories. NMR spectrometers were purchased with partial support from NIH and NSF, and X-ray equipment by NSF. We thank Dr. Ilia Guzei for X-ray structure analysis, and Prof. H. Wennemers for sharing unpublished results.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and compound characterizations. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Perlmutter, P. Conjugate Addition Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1992.
- For recent reviews, see: (a) Jarvo, E. R.; Miller, S. J. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 2481. (b) Sibi, M. P.; Manyem, S. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 8033. (c) (2)Yamaguchi, M. In Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis I-III; Jacobsen, E. N., Pfaltz, A., Yamamoto, H., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin-Heidelberg, Germany, 1999; Chapter 31.2.
- For recent reviews, see: (a) Berner, O. M.; Tedeschi, L.; Enders, D. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 1877. (b) Pellissier, H. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 9267. (c) Santanu,
- Cnem. 2002, 1877. (b) Pellissier, H. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 9267. (c) Santanu, M.; Yang, J. W.; Hoffmann, S.; List, B. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5471.
 (4) For selected examples, see: (a) Betancort, J. M.; Barbas, C. F., III Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3737. (b) Mase, N.; Thayumanavan, R.; Tanaka, F.; Barbas, C. F., III Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2527. (c) Mase, N.; Watanabe, K.; Yoda, H.; Takabe, K.; Tanaka, F.; Barbas, C. F., III J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4966. (d) Wang, W.; Wang, J.; Li, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1369. (e) Hayashi, Y.; Gotoh, H.; Hayashi, T.; Shoji, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2005, 45, 6366. (e) Wiesner. M.; Revell I D.
- Int. Ed. 2005, 47, 4212. (1) Latonder, M. F., Chen, T. C., Jacobsen, E. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 45, 6366. (g) Wiesner, M.; Revell, J. D.; Wennemers, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1871.
 For selected examples, see: (a) List, B.; Pojarliev, P.; Martin, H. J. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2423. (b) Okino, T.; Hoashi, Y.; Takemoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12672. (c) Xu, Y.; Córdova, A. Chem. Commun. 2006, 460.
 (a) Hanessian, S.; Luo, X.; Schaum, R.; Michnick, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1009, 120, 2566 (b) Histogramma, T.; Codamann Y.; Junn. P.; Scabach. (5)
- 1998, 120, 8569. (b) Hintermann, T.; Gademann, K.; Jaun, B.; Seebach, D. Helv. Chim. Acta 1998, 81, 983. (c) Woll, M. G.; Lai, J. R.; Guzei, I. A.; Taylor, S. J. C.; Smith, M. E. B.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 11077. (d) Seebach, D.; Brenner, M.; Rueping, M.; Jaun, B. Chem. Eur. J. 2002, 8, 573.
- (7) (a) Hagen, A.; Schnitt, M. A.; Ngassa, F. N.; Thomasson, K. A.; Gellman, S. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 505. (b) Hagihara, M.; Anthony, N. J.; Stout, T. J.; Clardy, J.; Schreiber, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 6568. (c) Vasudev, P. G.; Ananda, K.; Chatterjee, S.; Aravinda, S.; Shamala, N.; Balaram, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 4039.
- (8) Johnston, G. A. R. *Pharmacol. Ther.* **1996**, *69*, 173.
 (9) For selected references, see: (a) Bryans, J. S.; Wustrow, D. J. *Med. Res. Rev.* **1999**, *19*, 149. (b) Sammins, G. L.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, *125*, 4442. (c) Poe, S. L.; Kobašlija, M.; McQuade, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, *129*, 9216. (d) Zu, L. S.; Xie, H. X.; Li, H.; Wang, J.; Warg, W. Adv. Surth. Card. **2007**, *340*, 266 Wang, W. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 2660.
- (10) Ordóñez, M.; Cativiela, C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2007, 18, 3.
- (11) Marigo, M.; Wabnitz, T. C.; Fielenbach, D.; Jørgensen, K. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 794.
- (12) (a) Córdova, A.; Notz, W.; Barbas, C. F., III J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 301.
 (b) Northrup, A. B.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6798. (c) List, B. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 5573.
- (13) (a) Melchiore, P.; Jørgensen, K. A. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 4151. (b) Peelen, T. J.; Chi, Y.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11598. (c) Chi, Y.; Gellman, S. H. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4253.
- (14) For a review on co-catalyst effects, see: (a) Vogl, E.M.; Gröger, H.; Shibasaki, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1570. For selected examples, see: (b) Shi, M.; Jiang, J.-K.; Li, C.-Q. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 127. (c) Aroyan, C. E.; Vasbinder, M. M.; Miller, S. J. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3849.
- (15) No apparent change on enantioselectivity was observed with the use of the acidic co-catalysts.
- (16) Chi, Y.; Peelen, T. J.; Gellman, S. H. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 3469.
 (17) (a) Goodman, C. M.; Choi, S.; Shandler, S.; DeGrado, W. F. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2007**, *3*, 252. (b) Seebach, D.; Schaeffer, L.; Brenner, M.; Hoyer, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2003**, *42*, 776. For related work, see: Wiesner, M.; Revell, J. D.; Tonazzi, S.; Wennemers, U. L. & Cher, S. **2009**, 103, 5510 (See Section 2019).
- (18)H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5610.

JA800345R